I was copying a lot of code as I didn't know what are the exact steps I have to do to complete the task.
Q.5: How many documentations did you use in this experiment?

☐ None. ☐ Only one. ☑ Two.
Please specify: ____________________

Q.6: For each documentation, please specify which parts of the documentation did you read?

Doc1: ☐ None ☐ Only Relevant ☐ A Big Portion ☑ All of that Parts

Doc2: ☐ None ☐ Only Relevant ☐ A Big Portion ☑ All of that Parts

Q.7: Were you able to find all the required information for ☐ Yes ☑ No implementing the concept in the provided documentation?

Q.7.1: If not, what kinds of information were missing in the provided documentation?
Specific one. The one that connects the programming steps (lines of code).

Q.8: Were you able to easily access the desired information in the ☐ Yes ☑ No provided documentation?

Q.8.1: If not, what were the difficulties?

Documentation is often as now general even though it tells you a lot about how to. Nevertheless, there are many engineering steps which are usually out of document content. If you are not familiar with the framework enough, the documentation might be insufficient when trying to write a concrete code that should actually run.

Q.9: In your opinion, was the documentation concise enough? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Q.10: Overall, in the range of 1-5, how do you rank the provided documentation in terms of usefulness to implement the concept?

☐ 1 = Not Useful ☐ 2 ☑ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 = Excellent
Q.11: Do you have any additional comments on this experiment?